

# Table of Contents

Acronyms and Abbreviations ..... xi

Metric Conversions ..... xv

S Executive Summary ..... I

    S.1 Introduction ..... I

    S.2 Agencies’ Purpose and Need ..... I

    S.3 Applicants’ Purpose and Need ..... III

    S.4 Public Participation ..... IV

    S.5 Alternatives ..... X

    S.6 Impacts ..... XII

1 Introduction ..... 1

    1.1 Project Overview and Description ..... 2

    1.2 Purpose and Need ..... 6

        1.2.1 Western Interconnection ..... 6

        1.2.2 RUS Financing ..... 8

        1.2.3 Cooperating Agencies ..... 8

        1.2.4 Applicants’ Purpose and Need ..... 9

    1.3 Regulatory Framework and Land Status ..... 12

    1.4 Public Involvement / Scoping ..... 14

        1.4.1 Notice of Intent ..... 14

        1.4.2 Newspaper Notices ..... 15

        1.4.3 Direct Mailings ..... 15

        1.4.4 Scoping Meetings ..... 15

        1.4.5 Interagency Meeting ..... 16

    1.5 Comment Summary ..... 17

2 Alternatives and Proposed Federal Actions ..... 23

    2.1 Applicants’ Site Selection and Screening Analysis ..... 24

        2.1.1 Crow Lake Alternative ..... 25

        2.1.2 Winner Alternative ..... 28

        2.1.3 Applicants’ Preliminary Siting Parameters ..... 28

    2.2 Alternatives Considered but Eliminated from Full Analysis ..... 29

    2.3 Crow Lake Alternative ..... 29

        2.3.1 Proposed Project Components ..... 29

        2.3.2 Pre-construction Activities ..... 32

        2.3.3 Construction ..... 33

        2.3.4 Operation and Maintenance ..... 34

2.3.5	Decommissioning and Restoration .....	36
2.3.6	Applicants’ and Agencies’ Included Best Management Practices and Applicants’ Proposed Measures .....	36
2.4	Winner Alternative.....	43
2.4.1	Proposed Project Components .....	43
2.4.2	Pre-construction Activities.....	43
2.4.3	Construction.....	43
2.4.4	Operation and Maintenance .....	43
2.4.5	Decommissioning and Restoration .....	43
2.4.6	Applicants’ and Agencies’ Included BMPs and APMs.....	44
2.5	No Action Alternative.....	44
2.6	Estimated Surface Disturbance Area .....	44
2.7	Summary of Impacts by Alternative .....	44
3	Affected Environment.....	47
3.1	Geology and Soils.....	48
3.1.1	Geology.....	48
3.1.1.1	Crow Lake Alternative .....	48
3.1.1.2	Winner Alternative.....	50
3.1.2	Soils.....	51
3.1.2.1	Crow Lake Alternative.....	51
3.1.2.2	Winner Alternative.....	54
3.2	Water Resources .....	56
3.2.1	Surface Water Resources .....	56
3.2.1.1	Crow Lake Alternative.....	56
3.2.1.2	Winner Alternative.....	59
3.2.2	Floodplains.....	60
3.2.2.1	Crow Lake Alternative.....	62
3.2.2.2	Winner Alternative.....	62
3.2.3	Groundwater Resources .....	62
3.2.3.1	Crow Lake Alternative.....	62
3.2.3.2	Winner Alternative.....	63
3.2.4	Wetlands and Waters of the United States.....	63
3.2.4.1	Crow Lake Alternative.....	64
3.2.4.2	Winner Alternative.....	64
3.3	Climate Change and Air Quality.....	66
3.3.1	Regional Climate and Meteorology .....	66
3.3.2	Air Pollutants .....	68
3.3.3	Ambient Air Quality .....	68

3.3.4 Climate Change.....68

3.4 Biological Resources .....69

3.4.1 Regulatory Framework .....69

    3.4.1.1 Federal Statutes.....69

    3.4.1.2 State Statutes.....70

3.4.2 Study Methods .....70

3.4.3 Vegetation Communities .....71

    3.4.3.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....71

    3.4.3.2 Winner Alternative.....76

3.4.4 Wildlife .....80

    3.4.4.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....82

    3.4.4.2 Winner Alternative.....85

3.4.5 Special Status Species.....88

    3.4.5.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....88

    3.4.5.2 Winner Alternative.....97

3.5 Cultural Resources .....106

3.5.1 Native Americans of the Proposed Project Area, Religious Concerns .....107

3.5.2 Previous Research.....110

    3.5.2.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....111

    3.5.2.2 Winner Alternative.....114

3.6 Land Use .....117

3.6.1 General Land Use .....117

    3.6.1.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....117

    3.6.1.2 Winner Alternative.....118

3.6.2 Prime Farmland and Farmland of Statewide Importance .....118

    3.6.2.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....118

    3.6.2.2 Winner Alternative.....118

3.6.3 Conservation Easements .....119

    3.6.3.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....119

    3.6.3.2 Winner Alternative.....119

3.6.4 Residential Use .....119

    3.6.4.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....119

    3.6.4.2 Winner Alternative.....119

3.6.5 Recreation .....120

3.7 Transportation.....120

3.7.1 Roads and Highways.....120

    3.7.1.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....121

    3.7.1.2 Winner Alternative.....121

3.7.2 Aviation.....122

3.7.2.1	Crow Lake Alternative.....	122
3.7.2.2	Winner Alternative.....	122
3.8	Visual Resources.....	125
3.8.1	Existing Visual Setting .....	125
3.8.1.1	Crow Lake Alternative.....	125
3.8.1.2	Winner Alternative.....	126
3.8.2	Key Observation Points .....	126
3.8.2.1	Crow Lake Alternative.....	128
3.8.2.2	Winner Alternative.....	131
3.9	Noise .....	135
3.9.1	Fundamentals of Sound.....	135
3.9.2	Applicable Recommendations .....	135
3.9.3	Existing Noise Sources and Sensitive Receptors.....	135
3.9.3.1	Crow Lake Alternative.....	136
3.9.3.2	Winner Alternative.....	137
3.10	Socioeconomics .....	137
3.10.1	Population Trends and Demographic Characteristics.....	137
3.10.1.1	Crow Lake Alternative.....	137
3.10.1.2	Winner Alternative.....	138
3.10.2	Economic Resources .....	139
3.10.2.1	Crow Lake Alternative.....	139
3.10.2.2	Winner Alternative.....	142
3.11	Environmental Justice.....	144
3.11.1	Minority and Low-Income Populations .....	146
3.11.1.1	Crow Lake Alternative.....	146
3.11.1.2	Winner Alternative.....	147
3.12	Human Health and Safety .....	147
4	Environmental Consequences.....	149
4.1	Geology and Soils.....	151
4.1.1	Methods.....	151
4.1.2	Significance Criteria .....	151
4.1.3	Impact Assessment.....	151
4.1.3.1	Crow Lake Alternative.....	152
4.1.3.2	Winner Alternative.....	152
4.1.3.3	No Action Alternative.....	153
4.2	Water Resources .....	153
4.2.1	Methods.....	153
4.2.2	Significance Criteria .....	153

4.2.3	Impact Assessment.....	154
4.2.3.1	Crow Lake Alternative.....	154
4.2.3.2	Winner Alternative.....	155
4.2.3.3	No Action Alternative.....	156
4.3	Climate Change and Air Quality.....	156
4.3.1	Methods.....	156
4.3.2	Significance Criteria .....	156
4.3.3	Impact Assessment.....	156
4.3.3.1	Crow Lake Alternative.....	156
4.3.3.2	Winner Alternative.....	157
4.3.3.3	No Action Alternative.....	158
4.4	Biological Resources .....	158
4.4.1	Methods.....	158
4.4.2	Significance Criteria .....	158
4.4.3	Impact Assessment.....	159
4.4.3.1	Crow Lake Alternative.....	159
4.4.3.2	Winner Alternative.....	171
4.4.3.3	No Action Alternative.....	181
4.5	Cultural Resources .....	181
4.5.1	Methods.....	182
4.5.2	Significance Criteria .....	183
4.5.3	Impact Assessment.....	183
4.5.3.1	Crow Lake Alternative.....	184
4.5.3.2	Winner Alternative.....	186
4.5.3.3	No Action Alternative.....	189
4.6	Land Use .....	189
4.6.1	Methods.....	189
4.6.2	Significance Criteria .....	189
4.6.3	Impact Assessment.....	189
4.6.3.1	Crow Lake Alternative.....	190
4.6.3.2	Winner Alternative.....	190
4.6.3.3	No Action Alternative.....	191
4.7	Transportation .....	192
4.7.1	Methods.....	192
4.7.2	Significance Criteria .....	192
4.7.3	Impact Assessment.....	192
4.7.3.1	Crow Lake Alternative.....	195
4.7.3.2	Winner Alternative.....	195
4.7.3.3	No Action Alternative.....	195

4.8	Visual Resources.....	196
4.8.1	Methods.....	196
4.8.2	Significance Criteria .....	197
4.8.3	Impact Assessment.....	197
4.8.3.1	Crow Lake Alternative KOPs .....	198
4.8.3.2	Winner Alternative KOPs.....	202
4.8.3.3	No Action Alternative.....	207
4.9	Noise .....	207
4.9.1	Methods.....	207
4.9.2	Significance Criteria .....	209
4.9.3	Impact Assessment.....	209
4.9.3.1	Crow Lake Alternative.....	210
4.9.3.2	Winner Alternative.....	211
4.9.3.3	No Action Alternative.....	213
4.10	Socioeconomics .....	213
4.10.1	Methods.....	213
4.10.2	Significance Criteria .....	213
4.10.3	Impact Assessment.....	213
4.10.3.1	Crow Lake Alternative.....	215
4.10.3.2	Winner Alternative.....	216
4.10.3.3	No Action Alternative.....	216
4.11	Environmental Justice.....	216
4.11.1	Methods.....	216
4.11.2	Significance Criteria .....	218
4.11.3	Impact Assessment.....	219
4.11.3.1	Crow Lake Alternative.....	219
4.11.3.2	Winner Alternative.....	219
4.11.3.3	No Action Alternative.....	220
4.12	Human Health and Safety .....	220
4.12.1	Methods.....	220
4.12.2	Significance Criteria .....	220
4.12.3	Impact Assessment.....	220
4.12.3.1	Crow Lake Alternative.....	227
4.12.3.2	Winner Alternative.....	227
4.12.3.3	No Action Alternative.....	228
5	Cumulative Impacts .....	229
5.1	Methods.....	229
5.2	Past and Present Actions.....	229

5.3 Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions .....230

5.4 Cumulative Impact Analysis.....234

    5.4.1 Climate change and air quality .....235

    5.4.2 Biological Resources .....235

    5.4.3 Cultural Resources .....236

    5.4.4 Transportation.....237

    5.4.5 Visual .....237

6 Unavoidable Adverse Impacts .....239

7 Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources .....241

8 Short-Term Use and Long-Term Productivity.....243

9 Consultation and Coordination .....245

    9.1 Agencies and Persons Contacted/ Consulted.....245

    9.2 Individuals to Receive the EIS.....247

    9.3 List of Preparers.....248

10 Disclosure Statement .....251

11 References.....253

12 Glossary .....269

Index ..... 291

## List of Tables

Table S.1 Public Scoping Meetings ..... V

Table S.2 Scoping Comment Summary ..... VI

Table S.3 Impact Summary by Alternative..... XIV

Table 1.1 Regulatory Compliance, Potential Permits and Approvals for the  
Construction and Operation of the Proposed Project.....13

Table 1.2 Public Scoping Meetings .....16

Table 1.3 Scoping Comment Summary .....18

Table 2.1 Site Selection and Evaluation Criteria .....26

Table 2.2 Applicants’ and Agencies’ Included BMPs.....37

Table 2.3 APMs .....40

Table 2.4 Estimated Surface Disturbance Areas – Crow Lake and Winner  
Alternatives .....45

Table 3.1-1 Soils of the Crow Lake Alternative .....52

Table 3.1-2 Soils of the Winner Alternative .....54

Table 3.2-1 Wetland Areas within the Crow Lake Alternative.....64

Table 3.2-2	Wetland Areas within the Winner Alternative.....	66
Table 3.4-1	Vegetation Communities in the Crow Lake Alternative.....	71
Table 3.4-2	South Dakota Invasive Plant Species Documented in Jerauld, Aurora or Brule Counties.....	76
Table 3.4-3	Vegetation Communities in the Winner Alternative .....	77
Table 3.4-4	South Dakota Invasive Plant Species Documented in Tripp County .....	80
Table 3.4-5	Bat Species that May Occur within the Crow Lake Alternative.....	83
Table 3.4-6	Bat Species that May Occur within the Winner Alternative.....	86
Table 3.4-7	Federal and State-listed Species that May Occur within the Crow Lake Alternative.....	88
Table 3.4-8	South Dakota Species of Concern, Level 1 Bird Species and Birds of Conservation Concern Occurring in the Crow Lake Alternative.....	92
Table 3.4-9	Federal and State-listed Species that May Occur within the Winner Alternative.....	97
Table 3.4-10	South Dakota Species of Concern, Level 1 Bird Species and Birds of Conservation Concern Occurring in the Winner Alternative .....	100
Table 3.5-1	Crow Lake Alternative Previous Cultural Resource Surveys.....	111
Table 3.5-2	Crow Lake Alternative Cultural Resource Sites.....	111
Table 3.5-3	Crow Lake Alternative Historic Structures.....	112
Table 3.5-4	Winner Alternative Previous Cultural Resource Surveys.....	114
Table 3.5-5	Winner Alternative Cultural Sites.....	114
Table 3.5-6	Winner Alternative Historic Structures .....	116
Table 3.5-7	Winner Alternative Recorded Bridges.....	116
Table 3.6-1	Crow Lake Alternative Current Land Use .....	117
Table 3.6-2	Winner Alternative Current Land Use.....	118
Table 3.7-1	Regional Roadways .....	121
Table 3.10-1	Crow Lake Alternative Population .....	138
Table 3.10-2	Crow Lake Alternative Age and Gender Demographics .....	138
Table 3.10-3	Winner Alternative Population .....	139
Table 3.10-4	Winner Alternative Age and Gender Demographics .....	139
Table 3.10-5	Crow Lake Alternative Income.....	140
Table 3.10-6	Crow Lake Alternative Labor Force, Unemployment and Education .....	141
Table 3.10-7	Winner Alternative Income.....	142
Table 3.10-8	Winner Alternative Labor Force, Unemployment and Education .....	143
Table 3.11-1	Crow Lake Alternative Race Demographics .....	146
Table 3.11-2	Crow Lake Alternative Poverty Levels.....	147
Table 3.11-3	Winner Alternative Race Demographics .....	148
Table 3.11-4	Winner Alternative Poverty Levels .....	148
Table 4.4-1	Summary of Disturbance Areas within Vegetation Communities in the Crow Lake Alternative.....	160



Table 4.4-2	Summary of Disturbance Areas within Vegetation Communities in the Winner Alternative.....	172
Table 4.5-1	Crow Lake Alternative Historic Properties.....	185
Table 4.5-2	Winner Alternative Historic Properties .....	187
Table 4.5-3	Winner Alternative Historic Structures .....	188
Table 4.9-1	Noise Levels at Various Distances from Typical Construction Equipment .....	208
Table 4.9-2	Comparison of Wind Turbine Noise to Other Noise Sources.....	208
Table 4.12-1	Hazardous and Regulated Materials Associated with a Typical Wind Energy Project.....	221
Table 5.1	Existing Wind Energy Projects in South Dakota.....	232

## List of Figures

Figure S.1	Proposed Project Alternatives.....	XI
Figure 1.1	South Dakota Wind Resource Map.....	3
Figure 1.2	Proposed Project Alternatives.....	4
Figure 1.3	Crow Lake Alternative.....	5
Figure 1.4	Winner Alternative.....	7
Figure 1.5	RPS Requirements and Existing/Proposed Renewable Energy Sources .....	11
Figure 1.6	Total System Load and Capability.....	12
Figure 2.1	Locations of Sites Considered in Screening Assessment .....	27
Figure 3.1-1	Physiographic Regions.....	49
Figure 3.1-2	Crow Lake Soils.....	53
Figure 3.1-3	Winner Soils.....	55
Figure 3.2-1	Crow Lake Regional Surface Waters.....	57
Figure 3.2-2	Winner Regional Surface Waters.....	61
Figure 3.2-3	Crow Lake Waters .....	65
Figure 3.2-4	Winner Waters .....	67
Figure 3.4-1	Crow Lake Habitat.....	73
Figure 3.4-2	Crow Lake Conservation .....	75
Figure 3.4-3	Winner Habitat.....	79
Figure 3.4-4	Winner Conservation .....	81
Figure 3.5-1	Sioux Territory – Early to Mid 19th Century .....	108
Figure 3.5-2	Crow Lake Cultural Resources .....	113
Figure 3.5-3	Winner Cultural Resources .....	115
Figure 3.7-1	Crow Lake Alternative Traffic Flow Map.....	123
Figure 3.7-2	Winner Alternative Traffic Flow Map.....	124
Figure 3.8-1	Key Observation Points .....	127

Figure 3.8-2	KOP 1 Existing Condition .....	128
Figure 3.8-3	KOP 2 Existing Condition .....	129
Figure 3.8-4	KOP 3 Existing Condition .....	130
Figure 3.8-5	KOP 4 Existing Condition .....	131
Figure 3.8-6	KOP 5 Existing Condition .....	132
Figure 3.8-7	KOP 6 Existing Condition .....	133
Figure 3.8-8	KOP 7 Existing Condition .....	134
Figure 3.9-1	Typical Sound Levels .....	136
Figure 3.11-1	Census Tracts .....	145
Figure 4.8-1	KOP 1 Existing Condition .....	199
Figure 4.8-2	KOP 1 Simulation .....	199
Figure 4.8-3	KOP 2 Existing Condition .....	200
Figure 4.8-4	KOP 2 Simulation .....	200
Figure 4.8-5	KOP 3 Existing Condition .....	201
Figure 4.8-6	KOP 3 Visual Simulation.....	201
Figure 4.8-7	KOP 4 Existing Condition .....	203
Figure 4.8-8	KOP 4 Simulation .....	203
Figure 4.8-9	KOP 5 Existing Condition .....	204
Figure 4.8-10	KOP 5 Simulation .....	204
Figure 4.8-11	KOP 6 Existing Condition .....	205
Figure 4.8-12	KOP 6 Simulation .....	205
Figure 4.8-13	KOP 7 Existing Condition .....	206
Figure 4.8-14	KOP 7 Simulation .....	206
Figure 5.1	Midwest Independent System Operator Approved Interconnection Projects and Migratory Flyways .....	231
Figure 5.2	South Dakota Existing Utilities .....	233

## List of Appendices

Appendix A	Scoping Materials
Appendix B	Engineering Drawings
Appendix C	Biological Resources
Appendix D	Cultural Resources