

Table of Contents

Acronyms and Abbreviations *xiii*

Metric Conversions..... *xvii*

S Executive Summary I

 S.1 Introduction..... I

 S.2 Agencies’ Purpose and Need II

 S.3 Basin Electric’s Purpose and Need IV

 S.4 Wind Partners’ Purpose and Need IV

 S.5 Public Participation..... V

 S.6 Alternatives..... VII

 S.7 Impacts.....X

 S.8 Preferred Alternative..... XII

1 Introduction..... 1

 1.1 Project Overview and Description 2

 1.2 Purpose and Need 7

 1.2.1 Western Interconnection 7

 1.2.2 RUS Financing..... 9

 1.2.3 Cooperating Agencies..... 9

 1.2.4 Basin Electric’s Purpose and Need 10

 1.2.5 Wind Partners’ Purpose and Need 13

 1.3 Regulatory Framework and Land Status..... 13

 1.4 Public Involvement / Scoping..... 13

 1.4.1 Notice of Intent 15

 1.4.2 Newspaper Notices 15

 1.4.3 Direct Mailings 16

 1.4.4 Scoping Meetings..... 16

 1.4.5 Interagency Meeting 17

 1.4.6 Scoping Comment Summary 17

 1.5 Public Involvement / DEIS 17

 1.5.1 Notice of Availability 18

 1.5.2 Open House and Public Hearing..... 18

 1.5.3 Interagency Meeting 18

 1.5.4 DEIS Comments 19

2 Alternatives and Proposed Federal Actions21

2.1 Applicants’ Site Selection and Screening Analysis22

2.1.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....26

2.1.2 Winner Alternative.....26

2.1.3 Applicants’ Preliminary Siting Parameters.....27

2.2 Alternatives Considered but Eliminated from Full Analysis27

2.3 Crow Lake Alternative.....28

2.3.1 Proposed Project Components28

2.3.2 Pre-construction Activities.....32

2.3.3 Construction.....33

2.3.4 Operation and Maintenance34

2.3.5 Decommissioning and Restoration36

2.3.6 Applicants’ and Agencies’ Included Best Management Practices and Applicants’
Proposed Measures36

2.4 Winner Alternative.....43

2.4.1 Proposed Project Components43

2.4.2 Pre-construction Activities.....43

2.4.3 Construction.....44

2.4.4 Operation and Maintenance44

2.4.5 Decommissioning and Restoration44

2.4.6 Applicants’ and Agencies’ Included BMPs and APMs.....44

2.5 No Action Alternative.....44

2.6 Estimated Surface Disturbance Area44

2.7 Summary of Impacts by Alternative45

2.8 Preferred Alternative.....45

3 Affected Environment.....47

3.1 Geology and Soils.....48

3.1.1 Geology.....48

3.1.1.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....48

3.1.1.2 Winner Alternative.....50

3.1.2 Soils.....51

3.1.2.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....51

3.1.2.2 Winner Alternative.....54

3.2 Water Resources56

3.2.1 Surface Water Resources56

3.2.1.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....56

3.2.1.2 Winner Alternative.....59

3.2.2 Floodplains.....60

 3.2.2.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....62

 3.2.2.2 Winner Alternative.....62

3.2.3 Groundwater Resources62

 3.2.3.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....62

 3.2.3.2 Winner Alternative.....63

3.2.4 Wetlands and Waters of the United States.....63

 3.2.4.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....64

 3.2.4.2 Winner Alternative.....66

3.3 Climate Change and Air Quality.....66

 3.3.1 Regional Climate and Meteorology66

 3.3.2 Air Pollutants68

 3.3.3 Ambient Air Quality68

 3.3.4 Climate Change.....68

3.4 Biological Resources69

 3.4.1 Regulatory Framework69

 3.4.1.1 Federal Statutes.....69

 3.4.1.2 State Statutes.....70

 3.4.2 Study Methods71

 3.4.3 Vegetation Communities71

 3.4.3.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....71

 3.4.3.2 Winner Alternative.....77

 3.4.4 Wildlife81

 3.4.4.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....83

 3.4.4.2 Winner Alternative.....87

 3.4.5 Special Status Species.....91

 3.4.5.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....91

 3.4.5.2 Winner Alternative.....104

3.5 Cultural Resources114

 3.5.1 Native Americans of the Proposed Project Area, Religious Concerns.....114

 3.5.2 Previous Research.....118

 3.5.2.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....118

 3.5.2.2 Winner Alternative.....119

 3.5.3 Class III Survey.....121

3.6 Land Use124

 3.6.1 General Land Use124

3.6.1.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....124

3.6.1.2 Winner Alternative.....124

3.6.2 Prime Farmland and Farmland of Statewide Importance125

3.6.2.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....125

3.6.2.2 Winner Alternative.....125

3.6.3 Conservation Easements125

3.6.3.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....126

3.6.3.2 Winner Alternative.....126

3.6.4 Residential Use126

3.6.4.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....126

3.6.4.2 Winner Alternative.....126

3.6.5 Recreation126

3.7 Transportation127

3.7.1 Roads and Highways.....127

3.7.1.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....127

3.7.1.2 Winner Alternative.....128

3.7.2 Aviation.....128

3.7.2.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....128

3.7.2.2 Winner Alternative.....129

3.8 Visual Resources.....132

3.8.1 Existing Visual Setting132

3.8.1.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....132

3.8.1.2 Winner Alternative.....133

3.8.2 Key Observation Points133

3.8.2.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....135

3.8.2.2 Winner Alternative.....138

3.9 Noise142

3.9.1 Fundamentals of Sound.....142

3.9.2 Applicable Recommendations142

3.9.3 Existing Noise Sources and Sensitive Receptors.....142

3.9.3.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....143

3.9.3.2 Winner Alternative.....143

3.10 Socioeconomics144

3.10.1 Population Trends and Demographic Characteristics.....144

3.10.1.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....144

3.10.1.2 Winner Alternative.....145

3.10.2 Economic Resources146

3.10.2.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....146

3.10.2.2 Winner Alternative.....149

3.11 Environmental Justice.....151

3.11.1 Minority and Low-Income Populations153

3.11.1.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....153

3.11.1.2 Winner Alternative.....154

3.12 Human Health and Safety155

4 Environmental Consequences.....157

4.1 Geology and Soils.....159

4.1.1 Methods.....159

4.1.2 Significance Criteria160

4.1.3 Impact Assessment.....160

4.1.3.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....160

4.1.3.2 Winner Alternative.....161

4.1.3.3 No Action Alternative.....161

4.2 Water Resources161

4.2.1 Methods.....161

4.2.2 Significance Criteria162

4.2.3 Impact Assessment.....162

4.2.3.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....163

4.2.3.2 Winner Alternative.....164

4.2.3.3 No Action Alternative.....164

4.3 Climate Change and Air Quality.....164

4.3.1 Methods.....164

4.3.2 Significance Criteria165

4.3.3 Impact Assessment.....165

4.3.3.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....165

4.3.3.2 Winner Alternative.....166

4.3.3.3 No Action Alternative.....166

4.4 Biological Resources166

4.4.1 Methods.....166

4.4.2 Significance Criteria166

4.4.3 Impact Assessment.....168

4.4.3.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....168

4.4.3.2 Winner Alternative.....184

4.4.3.3 No Action Alternative.....198

4.5 Cultural Resources199

 4.5.1 Methods.....199

 4.5.2 Significance Criteria200

 4.5.3 Impact Assessment.....201

 4.5.3.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....201

 4.5.3.2 Winner Alternative.....204

 4.5.3.3 No Action Alternative.....207

4.6 Land Use207

 4.6.1 Methods.....207

 4.6.2 Significance Criteria207

 4.6.3 Impact Assessment.....207

 4.6.3.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....208

 4.6.3.2 Winner Alternative.....208

 4.6.3.3 No Action Alternative.....209

4.7 Transportation210

 4.7.1 Methods.....210

 4.7.2 Significance Criteria210

 4.7.3 Impact Assessment.....210

 4.7.3.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....212

 4.7.3.2 Winner Alternative.....213

 4.7.3.3 No Action Alternative.....213

4.8 Visual Resources.....213

 4.8.1 Methods.....213

 4.8.2 Significance Criteria215

 4.8.3 Impact Assessment.....215

 4.8.3.1 Crow Lake Alternative KOPs216

 4.8.3.2 Winner Alternative KOPs.....221

 4.8.3.3 No Action Alternative.....226

4.9 Noise226

 4.9.1 Methods.....226

 4.9.2 Significance Criteria228

 4.9.3 Impact Assessment.....228

 4.9.3.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....229

 4.9.3.2 Winner Alternative.....230

 4.9.3.3 No Action Alternative.....232

4.10 Socioeconomics232

 4.10.1 Methods.....232

4.10.2 Significance Criteria232

4.10.3 Impact Assessment.....232

 4.10.3.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....234

 4.10.3.2 Winner Alternative.....235

 4.10.3.3 No Action Alternative.....235

4.11 Environmental Justice235

 4.11.1 Methods.....235

 4.11.2 Significance Criteria237

 4.11.3 Impact Assessment.....238

 4.11.3.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....238

 4.11.3.2 Winner Alternative.....238

 4.11.3.3 No Action Alternative.....239

4.12 Human Health and Safety239

 4.12.1 Methods.....239

 4.12.2 Significance Criteria239

 4.12.3 Impact Assessment.....239

 4.12.3.1 Crow Lake Alternative.....246

 4.12.3.2 Winner Alternative.....247

 4.12.3.3 No Action Alternative.....247

5 Cumulative Impacts249

 5.1 Methods.....249

 5.2 Past and Present Actions.....250

 5.3 Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions.....260

 5.4 Cumulative Impact Analysis.....264

 5.4.1 Climate Change and Air Quality.....265

 5.4.2 Biological Resources265

 5.4.3 Cultural Resources268

 5.4.4 Visual268

6 Unavoidable Adverse Impacts269

7 Irreversible and Irrecoverable Commitments of Resources271

8 Short-Term Use and Long-Term Productivity.....273

9 Consultation and Coordination275

 9.1 Agencies and Persons Contacted/ Consulted.....275

 9.2 Individuals to Receive the EIS.....277

9.3 List of Preparers 279

10 Disclosure Statement 281

11 References..... 283

12 Glossary 325

Index291

List of Tables

Table S.1 Public Scoping Meetings VI

Table S.2 Impact Summary by Alternative XIII

Table 1.1 Regulatory Compliance, Potential Permits and Approvals for the Construction and Operation of the Proposed Project..... 14

Table 1.2 Public Scoping Meetings 16

Table 2.1 Site Selection and Evaluation Criteria 24

Table 2.2 Applicants’ and Agencies’ Included BMPs..... 37

Table 2.3 APMs 40

Table 2.4 Estimated Surface Disturbance Areas – Crow Lake and Winner Alternatives 46

Table 3.1-1 Soils of the Crow Lake Alternative 52

Table 3.1-2 Soils of the Winner Alternative 54

Table 3.2-1 Wetland Areas within the Crow Lake Alternative..... 64

Table 3.2-2 Wetland Areas within the Winner Alternative..... 66

Table 3.4-1 Vegetation Communities in the Crow Lake Alternative..... 72

Table 3.4-2 South Dakota Invasive Plant Species Documented in Jerauld, Aurora or Brule Counties..... 77

Table 3.4-3 Vegetation Communities in the Winner Alternative 78

Table 3.4-4 South Dakota Invasive Plant Species Documented in Tripp County 81

Table 3.4-5 Bat Species that May Occur within the Crow Lake Alternative..... 84

Table 3.4-6 Bat Species that May Occur within the Winner Alternative..... 88

Table 3.4-7 Federal and State-listed Species that May Occur within the Crow Lake Alternative..... 91

Table 3.4-8 South Dakota Species of Concern, Level 1 Bird Species and Birds of Conservation Concern Occurring in the Crow Lake Alternative..... 99

Table 3.4-9 Federal and State-listed Species that May Occur within the Winner Alternative..... 105

Table 3.4-10 South Dakota Species of Concern, Level 1 Bird Species and Birds of Conservation Concern Occurring in the Winner Alternative 108

Table 3.5-1 Crow Lake Alternative Previous Cultural Resource Surveys..... 118

Table 3.5-2 Crow Lake Alternative Cultural Resource Sites..... 119

Table 3.5-3 Crow Lake Alternative Historic Structures..... 119

Table 3.5-4 Winner Alternative Previous Cultural Resource Surveys..... 120

Table 3.5-5 Winner Alternative Cultural Sites..... 120

Table 3.5-6 Winner Alternative Historic Structures 121

Table 3.5-7 Winner Alternative Recorded Bridges..... 121

Table 3.5-8 Crow Lake Alternative Viewshed Historic Structures..... 123

Table 3.6-1 Crow Lake Alternative Current Land Use 124

Table 3.6-2 Winner Alternative Current Land Use..... 125

Table 3.7-1 Regional Roadways 127

Table 3.10-1 Crow Lake Alternative Population 145

Table 3.10-2 Crow Lake Alternative Age and Gender Demographics 145

Table 3.10-3 Winner Alternative Population 146

Table 3.10-4 Winner Alternative Age and Gender Demographics 146

Table 3.10-5 Crow Lake Alternative Income..... 147

Table 3.10-6 Crow Lake Alternative Labor Force, Unemployment and Education 148

Table 3.10-7 Winner Alternative Income..... 149

Table 3.10-8 Winner Alternative Labor Force, Unemployment and Education 150

Table 3.11-1 Crow Lake Alternative Race Demographics 153

Table 3.11-2 Crow Lake Alternative Poverty Levels..... 154

Table 3.11-3 Winner Alternative Race Demographics 154

Table 3.11-4 Winner Alternative Poverty Levels 155

Table 4.4-1 Summary of Disturbance Areas within Vegetation Communities in the
Crow Lake Alternative..... 169

Table 4.4-2 Summary of Disturbance Areas within Vegetation Communities in the
Winner Alternative..... 185

Table 4.5-1 Winner Alternative Historic Properties 206

Table 4.5-2 Winner Alternative Historic Structures 206

Table 4.9-1 Noise Levels at Various Distances from Typical Construction Equipment 227

Table 4.9-2 Comparison of Wind Turbine Noise to Other Noise Sources..... 227

Table 4.12-1 Hazardous and Regulated Materials Associated with a Typical
Wind Energy Project..... 241

Table 5.1 Existing and Reasonably Foreseeable Wind Energy Projects in South Dakota . 254

Table 5.2 Existing and Reasonably Foreseeable Wind Energy Projects in North Dakota . 257

List of Figures

| | | |
|---------------|---|------|
| Figure S.1 | Proposed Project Alternatives..... | VIII |
| Figure 1.1 | South Dakota Wind Resource Map..... | 3 |
| Figure 1.2 | Proposed Project Alternatives..... | 4 |
| Figure 1.3 | Crow Lake Alternative..... | 5 |
| Figure 1.4 | Winner Alternative..... | 6 |
| Figure 1.5 | RPS Requirements and Existing/Proposed Renewable Energy Sources | 11 |
| Figure 1.6 | Total System Load and Capability..... | 12 |
| Figure 2.1 | Locations of Sites Considered in Screening Assessment | 25 |
| Figure 3.1-1 | Physiographic Regions..... | 49 |
| Figure 3.1-2 | Crow Lake Soils..... | 53 |
| Figure 3.1-3 | Winner Soils..... | 55 |
| Figure 3.2-1 | Crow Lake Regional Surface Waters..... | 57 |
| Figure 3.2-2 | Winner Regional Surface Waters..... | 61 |
| Figure 3.2-3 | Crow Lake Waters | 65 |
| Figure 3.2-4 | Winner Waters | 67 |
| Figure 3.4-1 | Crow Lake Habitat..... | 74 |
| Figure 3.4-2 | Crow Lake Conservation | 76 |
| Figure 3.4-3 | Winner Habitat..... | 80 |
| Figure 3.4-4 | Winner Conservation | 82 |
| Figure 3.4-5 | Whooping Crane Migration Corridor with Sightings | 94 |
| Figure 3.5-1 | Sioux Territory – Early to Mid 19th Century | 115 |
| Figure 3.7-1 | Crow Lake Alternative Traffic Flow Map | 130 |
| Figure 3.7-2 | Winner Alternative Traffic Flow Map..... | 131 |
| Figure 3.8-1 | Key Observation Points | 134 |
| Figure 3.8-2 | KOP 1 Existing Condition | 135 |
| Figure 3.8-3 | KOP 2 Existing Condition | 136 |
| Figure 3.8-4 | KOP 3 Existing Condition | 137 |
| Figure 3.8-5 | KOP 4 Existing Condition | 138 |
| Figure 3.8-6 | KOP 5 Existing Condition | 139 |
| Figure 3.8-7 | KOP 6 Existing Condition | 140 |
| Figure 3.8-8 | KOP 7 Existing Condition | 141 |
| Figure 3.9-1 | Typical Sound Levels | 143 |
| Figure 3.11-1 | Census Tracts | 152 |
| Figure 4.8-1 | KOP 1 Existing Condition | 218 |
| Figure 4.8-2 | KOP 1 Simulation..... | 218 |
| Figure 4.8-3 | KOP 2 Existing Condition | 219 |
| Figure 4.8-4 | KOP 2 Simulation..... | 219 |

Figure 4.8-5 KOP 3 Existing Condition 220
Figure 4.8-6 KOP 3 Visual Simulation..... 220
Figure 4.8-10 KOP 5 Simulation 223
Figure 5.1 Midwest Independent System Operator Approved Interconnection Projects and
Migratory Flyways..... 251
Figure 5.2 Existing and Reasonably Foreseeable Wind Energy Proejcts in South Dakota . 256
Figure 5.3 Existing and Reasonably Foreseeable Wind Energy Projects in North Dakota . 259
Figure 5.4 South Dakota Existing Utilities 262

List of Appendices

- Appendix A Scoping Materials
- Appendix B Engineering Drawings
- Appendix C Biological Resources
- Appendix D Cultural Resources
- Appendix E DEIS Public Outreach
- Appendix F Comment and Response
- Appendix G Biological Documents

--This page left intentionally blank--