

NOTES



May 10, 2018

Joint Planning Agreement (JPA)

<https://www.wapa.gov/regions/DSW/pages/jpa.aspx>

No.	Subject	Discussion
1.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Introductions	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• All
2.	Administrative Matters <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Sign In Sheet, Mute phones, WebEx• Email for questions/comments• Working Lunch	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Email: DSWContracts@wapa.gov
3.	Material Review and Discussion – Administrative <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Next Meeting• Notes• Timelines• Draft Redlined JPA	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• All

Timelines

- Send final draft in July for execution by September 30, 2018
- Process for dissemination of draft agreement
 - Prepare and disseminate draft JPA redline
 - Update draft agreement as needed
 - Internal review
 - Customer review
 - Make final redline changes to draft agreed upon by all parties
 - Prepare and send out final agreement for signature

Draft Redlined JPA- Process for dissemination of drafts

- Format: Use of standard WAPA agreement
- Details: Put into exhibits and attachments for easier revisions as some terms may change, such as reporting requirements
- Discussion surrounding what to call the new agreement. Decision was made to retain “JPA” going forward
- Include termination language for WAPA. Suggestion to consider an automatic incremental review process.
- Include language for sudden termination, such as WAPA entering into an energy market
- Relationship to other agreements and processes are not meant to impact, change, or duplicate any other contract or process, except the use of prepayment funds
- WAPA recognizes the trust relationship with tribes and the opportunity for Government to Government consultations

- The existing Prepayment MOU termination provisions require a majority vote. A method is needed to close out the Prepayment MOU and transition to this new JPA that does not duplicate planning efforts, maintains consistent contractual coverage, and provides proper closure for the Prepayment MOU
- At no time is it intended to have two planning agreements in place at the same time, such as the Prepayment MOU and the new JPA. WAPA and its customers need a consolidated and clear means of vetting and garnering input into WAPA's planning efforts.

Scope

- Agreement covers Parker-Davis Project (P-DP)/Intertie transmission projects and federally owned projects only
- The Central Arizona Project is not part of this contract
- Entities that are not transmission service customers or receive transmission with their power can sign the JPA, but cannot vote
- This JPA is DSW specific
- More discussion regarding customer voting is needed

Reporting

- Seek to minimize redundant or special one-off reporting if not needed
- Reporting is regional only, and does not impact nor set a precedence for any other region or HQ
- Reporting should be done in accordance with the Transparency Act
- Funding thresholds for the three categories of capital construction costs below must be revised
 - Those activities which WAPA performs without customer review/input and voting
 - Those activities which WAPA performs with customer review/input, but without customer voting
 - Those activities which WAPA performs with customer review/input and voting

Capital and Ten Year Plan

- Process for input on capital
 - Meet with the Ten Year Plan (TYP) subject matter experts
 - Customers vote on projects through the Prepayment MOU, but the approved projects are prioritized in the TYP
 - Special customer work group sessions are planned that will allow the customers to have input into the projects and alternatives
- Project request is submitted to the TYP
 - TYP works with the submitter to complete request
 - Request is presented to the Capital Planning Committee – Technical Sub Team (CPC-T)
 - Rack & Stack by CPC-T for Priority based upon justification criteria
 - When project is in the 3 year window
 - Project is presented to the Capital Planning Committee
 - The Analysis of Alternatives (AOA) Study begins – completed in 1 year
 - Reviewed and input from customers
 - Determine if project will receive seed funding
 - MDCC for approval of appropriations
 - Presented for vote for full project cost. (Prepayment MOU does not cover seed funding with use of prepayment funding)
 - Official Hand off to Construction

Action Items:

4.	Next Steps/Action Item	
	WAPA	Add signature pages for Prepayment MOU and upload to webpage
	WAPA	Email notes from the May 10, 2018, meeting
	WAPA	Email WebEx information for next meeting on May 21, 2018, 1pm to 4pm (MST)
	WAPA	Provide list of P-DP & Intertie transmission/power, Prepayment MOU, and JPA signatories
	Participants	Send request for Wi-Fi access to DSWContracts@wapa.gov and; include full name, title, company, and phone number

Comments/Questions:

Response:

	How does voting work?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Signatories to the Prepayment MOU can vote to approve which projects will use prepayment funding • If the MOU terminates upon execution of the JPA, then only those signatories to the JPA who are also customers of the covered projects can vote. For example, a Boulder Canyon Project customer can sign the JPA but cannot vote on prepayment funding unless it also has an Intertie or P-DP transmission/power contract. • If the MOU remains in place after the JPA is executed, it could result in conflicting voting processes • Note: The Central Arizona Project is not included in the JPA and the signatories cannot vote on its activity, even though it is in our TYP
	What happens if a customer disagrees with a vote?	A customer disagreeing with a voting may be able to address their concern at the TYP meetings
	Include language to review JPA.	Language to be added for a periodic review of the JPA to ensure it remain relevant
	What is the difference between an MOU and a contract and which format will be used?	An MOU is considered to be less binding than a contract. Everyone agreed that it was better to wait until the JPA was drafted before deciding which type of document is most appropriate.

	What happens if a customer does not sign? Will it still benefit from the JPA?	If a customer doesn't want to sign the JPA, then such customer would still receive the information and be able to participate; it just wouldn't be able to vote. Customers can still work one-on-one with WAPA.
	Can a signatory vote who does not have a transmission or P-DP power contract?	Customers without Intertie or P-DP contracts can sign the JPA, such as the BCP Customers, but they cannot vote
	Will this effect transmission with CRSP customers?	WAPA will research this question
	Will customers be notified if WAPA determines activities impact rates?	Yes, customers will be informed
	Will WAPA notify customers if funds are returned to Treasury?	Yes, customers will be informed
	What is RRADs? Perhaps replace RRADs with a different phrase.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RRADs are usually done with our own maintenance forces using appropriations • O&M is 95% of maintenance • DSW tries to use appropriated funds on non-construction projects, such as limited pole replacement projects
	Current thresholds for approvals are very old and need to be updated.	WAPA will suggest thresholds
	When is an AOA used?	An AOA is completed for all construction projects