



January 28, 2010

Mr. Derrick Moe
Western Area Power Administration
PO Box 6457
Phoenix, AZ 85005-6457

Faxed to: (602) 605-2490

Emailed to: Post2017BCP@wapa.gov

Subject: Boulder Canyon Project – Post-2017 Application of the Energy Planning and Management Program Power Marketing Initiative [74 CFR 60256-7]

Dear Mr. Moe:

As a member of the Southern California Public Power Authority (SCPPA) and a current Hoover Contractor, I support the written comments filed by SCPPA during the Ontario public comment period.

Burbank's relationship with Hoover Dam dates back to its inception in 1931, when the City entered into a 50-year contract for energy from Hoover Dam to the extent of 5,109 kilowatts of demand and 20 million kilowatt-hours per year. At the time, experts thought this was a foolish plan. The Hoover Power Plant Act of 1984, which currently governs the allocation of power, will expire in 2017 at which time the existing participants will lose their right to power from the plant.

Burbank joins with the SCPPA Members who are Hoover Contractors in formally requesting that Western suspend the current administrative process at least through the end of the current session of Congress, to let the legislative process run its course, and avoid unnecessary duplication of efforts.

The Burbank Mayor has written to our Members of Congress in support of the legislation currently before Congress, and I believe it is the best way to resolve the issues and avoid the litigation and animosity that surrounded the previous renewals.

To the extent that Western's current process continues or resumes, Burbank joins with SCPPA in recommending the inclusion of following provisions from the legislation.

- We support the allocation of the full nameplate capacity of 2074 MW. The Contractors have paid for all the expenses of the project, and should receive the full output when it is available.
- We support the continuation of Schedules A, B, and C. They were the result of a negotiated settlement in the 1980's and should be upheld.
- We support a 50-year term. This matches the term of the original contracts and the LCRMSCP contracts, and postpones the need to go through this process again.
- We believe the 5% pool for new entrants is appropriate.
- We believe new entrants should be required to become a part of the LCRMSCP and pay a share of the costs.
- We believe provisions should be made to allow Contractors to deliver their power through transactions with an Independent System Operator or similar organization.

I sincerely thank Western for the opportunity to submit these comments.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ron Davis".

Ron Davis

General Manager, BWP