minimal reasonable activity levels (Reduced Operations Alternative), to the highest reasonable activity levels that could be supported by current facilities, plus the potential expansion and construction of new facilities for existing capabilities and for specifically identified future actions (Expanded Operations Alternative). The No Action Alternative would continue current mission support work at LANL and include approved interim actions and facility construction, expansions or modifications, and decontamination and decommissioning for which NEPA impact analysis has already been completed. All alternatives assume LANL will continue to operate as a NNSA national security laboratory for the foreseeable future.

Following the end of the public comment period described above, the NNSA will consider and respond to the comments received, and issue the Final LANL SWEIS. The NNSA will consider the environmental impact analysis presented in the Final LANL SWEIS, along with other information, in determining the Record of Decision for the continued operation of LANL.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 26th day of May 2006.

Thomas P. D’Agostino,
Acting Administrator, National Nuclear Security Administration.

[FR Doc. 06–6055 Filed 7–6–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
Western Area Power Administration

Big Stone II Power Plant and Transmission Project Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DOE/EIS–0377)

AGENCY: Western Area Power Administration, DOE.

ACTION: Notice extending comment period.

SUMMARY: The Western Area Power Administration (Western), U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Upper Great Plains Customer Service Region, and the Rural Utilities Service (U.S. Department of Agriculture), and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (U.S. Department of Defense) as cooperating agencies, announce the extension of the public comment period for the Big Stone II Power Plant and Transmission Project Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

DATES: The comment period on the Draft EIS is extended until July 24, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on the Draft EIS should be addressed to Ms. Nancy Werdel, NEPA Document Manager, Western Area Power Administration, P.O. Box 281213, Lakewood, CO 80228–8213, fax (720) 962–7263 or 7269, or e-mail BigStoneEIS@wapa.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information or to request a copy or summary of the Draft EIS, contact Ms. Nancy Werdel, NEPA Document Manager, Western Area Power Administration, P.O. Box 281213, Lakewood, CO 80228–8213, (800) 336–7288, fax (720) 962–7263 or 7269, or e-mail BigStoneEIS@wapa.gov.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 23, 2006, Western published a notice in the Federal Register (71 FR 29617) announcing the availability of the Draft EIS and a schedule for public hearings. The Environmental Protection Agency published its notice of availability of the Draft EIS (EPA EIS No. 20060178) on May 19, 2006 (71 FR 29148), that began a 45-day comment period, ending July 3, 2006. Based on requests received from agencies and members of the public, Western is extending the comment period until July 24, 2006. Further information on this proceeding is contained in the DOE Notice of Availability previously referenced.

Dated: June 28, 2006.

Michael S. Hacskaylo,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. E6–10656 Filed 7–6–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
[ER–FRL–6677–1]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202–564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 7, 2006 (71 FR 17845).

Draft EISs


Summary: Although EPA had no objections to the proposed project, EPA recommended that updated information be provided in the final EIS on the CWA 401 water quality certification. Rating LO.

EIS No. 20060160, ERP No. D–BPA–L08064–OR, Klamath IInd Wind Project (300 megawatts [MW]) and Biglow Canyon Wind Farm (400 megawatts [MW]) Integration Project, Construction and Operation of a Double-Circuit 230-Kilovolt (kV) Transmission, Sherman County, OR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern about wetland impacts and requested additional information on tribal consultations and outcomes, and extent of public involvement in the project planning. Rating EC1.


Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to air quality and requested additional information related to mitigation and partnerships with local transportation agencies to reduce the traffic impacts in the area. Rating EC2.

Final EISs


Summary: EPA continues to express environmental concerns about shallow river bottom impacts and CWA Section 404 issues. EPA requested the adoption of an adaptive management process, additional conceptual mitigation, and permit restrictions.

EIS No. 20060169, ERP No. F–FRC–C03015–00, Crown Landing Liquefied