



Small, Serene, Simply Garnett.

October 31, 2014

Jannsen Bruse
Kansas Municipal Energy Agency
6300 West 95th Street
Overland Park, Kansas 66212

Jannsen:

Here is a signed copy of Garnett's Integrated Resource Plan that was sent to Bob Mills a few days ago. I am also enclosing a copy of the July 22, 2014 City Commission minutes that show approval of this plan.

Please let me know if any additional information is needed.

Sincerely,

Joyce E. Martin, City Manager

j

Enclosure

INTEGRATED RESOURCE PLAN (IRP)

Western Area Power Administration's (Western) customers must comply with the requirements of the Energy Planning and Management Program (EPAMP (10 CFR Part 905)) to meet the objectives of Section 114 of the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (EPAAct). A Western customer is any entity that purchases firm capacity with or without energy, from Western under a long-term firm power contract. Integrated resource planning allows customers to meet the objectives of Section 114 of EPAAct.

Integrated resource planning is a planning process for new energy resources that evaluates the full range of alternatives, including new generating capacity, power purchases, energy conservation and efficiency, renewable energy resources, district heating and cooling applications, and cogeneration, to provide reliable service to electric consumers. An IRP supports utility-developed goals and schedules. An IRP must treat demand and supply resources on a consistent and integrated basis. The plan must take into account necessary features for system operation, such as diversity, reliability, dispatchability, and other risk factors. The plan must take into account the ability to verify energy savings achieved through energy efficiency and the projected durability of such savings measured over time. (See 10 CFR § 905.11 (a)).

Who May Use This Form:

Utilities that primarily provide retail electric service that have limited staff, limited resource options, and obtain a significant portion of its energy needs through purchase power contracts are eligible to use this form. Utilities using this form may generate a limited amount of energy if the generating resources are primarily used as back up resources, to support maintenance and outages, or during periods of peak demand.

Completing This Form:

To meet the Integrated Resource Planning reporting requirement, complete this form in electronic format in its entirety. Unaddressed items will be deemed incomplete and the IRP may not be eligible for approval. All of the data fields in this form automatically expand. Additional information may be attached to and submitted with this report. Western reserves the right to require supporting back-up materials or data used to develop this report. If there is any conflict between this form and the requirements defined in EPAMP, the requirements in EPAMP shall prevail.

Submit the completed report with a cover letter to:

Attention: Power Marketing Manager
Western Area Power Administration
Rocky Mountain Region
P.O. Box 3700
5555 E. Crossroads Blvd.
Loveland, CO 80539-3003

EPAMP Overview

The Energy Planning and Management Program (EPAMP) is defined in the Code of Federal Regulations in Title 10, Part 905 (10 CFR 905). The purposes of EPAMP are to meet the objectives of the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (EPAAct) while supporting integrated resource planning; demand-side management, including energy efficiency, conservation, and load management; and the use of renewable energy.

EPAMP was initially published in the Federal Register at 60 FR 54714 on October 20, 1995, and revised in 65 FR 16795 on March 30, 2000, and 73 FR 35062 on June 20, 2008. 10 CFR § 905.11 defines what must be included in an IRP.

Western's Energy Services Web site (www.wapa.gov/es/irp) provides extensive information on integrated resource planning and reporting requirements. If you have questions or require assistance in preparing your IPR, contact your Western regional Energy Services representative.

IRP Content

Cover Page	Customer Name & Contact Information
Section 1	Utility/Customer Overview
Section 2	Future Energy Services Projections (Load Forecast)
Section 3	Existing Supply-Side Resources
Section 4	Existing Demand-Side Resources
Section 5	Future Resource Requirements and Resource Options
Section 6	Environmental Effects
Section 7	Public Participation
Section 8	Action Plan and Measurement Strategies
Section 9	Signatures and Approval

INTEGRATED RESOURCE PLAN (IRP) 5-Year Plan

Customer Name:
Garnett, Kansas

IRP History: Check one as applicable.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	This is the submitter's first IRP submittal.
<input type="checkbox"/>	This submittal is an update/revision to a previously submitted IRP.

Reporting Dates:	
IRP Due Date:	7/1/2014
Annual Progress Report Due Date:	4/1

Customer Contact Information:	
Provide contact information for your organization. The contact person should be able to answer questions concerning the IRP.	
Customer Name:	Garnett, Kansas
Address:	131 W. 5 th Ave.
City, State, Zip:	Garnett, Kansas, 66032
Contact Person:	Bob Mills
Title:	Power Plant Superintendent
Phone Number:	785-448-3341
E-Mail Address:	bmillsgpp@embarqmail.com
Website:	www.garnettks.net

Type of Customer:	
Check one as applicable.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Municipal Utility
<input type="checkbox"/>	Electric Cooperative
<input type="checkbox"/>	Federal Entity
<input type="checkbox"/>	State Entity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Tribal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Irrigation District
<input type="checkbox"/>	Water District
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (Specify):

SECTION 1**UTILITY/CUSTOMER OVERVIEW****Customer Profile:**

Enter the following data for the most recently completed annual reporting period. Data may be available on form EIA-861, which you submit to the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA).

Reporting Period	
Reporting Period Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	01/01/2012
Reporting Period End Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	01/01/2013
Energy Sales & Usage	
Energy sales to Ultimate End Customers (MWh)	27,056
Energy sales for Resale (MWh)	0
Energy Furnished Without Charge (MWh)	2,016
Energy Consumed by Respondent Without Charge (MWh)	0
Total Energy Losses (MWh entered as positive number)	218
Total Energy Usage (sum of previous 5 lines in MWh)	29,353
Peak Demand (Reporting Period)	
Highest Hourly Summer (Jun. – Sept.) Peak Demand (MW)	9.3 MW
Highest Hourly Winter (Dec. – Mar.) Peak Demand (MW)	4.9 MW
Date of Highest Hourly Peak Demand (mm/dd/yyyy)	07/18/2012
Hour of Highest Hourly Peak Demand (hh AM/PM)	5:00 pm
Peak Demand (Historical)	
All-Time Highest Hourly System Peak Demand (MW)	10.4 MW
Date of All-Time Hourly System Peak Demand (mm/dd/yyyy)	08/01/06
Hour of All-Time Hourly Peak System Demand (hh AM/PM)	4:00 PM
Number of Customers/Meters (Year End of Reporting Period)	
Number of Residential Customers	1540
Number of Commercial Customers	262
Number of Industrial Customers	49
Other (Specify): Unbilled City Meters	61
Other (Specify):	

Customer Service Overview:

Describe your customer service territory and the services provided. Include geographic area, customer mix, key customer and significant loads, peak demand drivers, competitive situation, and other significant or unique aspects of the customer and/or service territory. Provide a brief summary of the key trends & challenges impacting future resource needs including population changes, customer growth/losses, and industrial developments.

Garnett is the county seat of Anderson County located in east central Kansas. Approximately 50 miles south of Lawrence (US 59), 50 miles southwest of metro Kansas City, 162 miles from Wichita, and 168 miles to Tulsa.

The city population is 3,207.

The city has a total area of 3.20 square miles, of which 3.10 square miles is land and 0.10 square miles is water

Customer Breakdown is as follows:

Residential: 1,540 meters

Commercial: 262 meters

Industrial: 49 meters

Unbilled City Meters: 61 meters.

The city of Garnett is experiencing flat growth over the past few years and doesn't expect to see any significant growth in the near future.

Electricity Utility Staff & Resources:

Summarize the number of full-time equivalent employees by primary functions such as power production, distribution, and administration. Describe any resource planning limitations, including economic, managerial, and/or resource capabilities.

Power Production

Supt. Bob Mills
Asst Supt Roy Salazar
Operators Jim Wilson
 Keith Chambers
 Doug Mader

Power Distribution

Supt Troy Hart
Asst Supt Duane Hastart
Lineman Andy Modlin
 Bryan Sobba

Historical Energy Use:

Enter the peak system demand and total annual energy use for the preceding ten (10) reporting years. For total energy, include retail sales, energy consumed or provided without charge, and system losses.

Reporting Year	Peak Demand (MW)	Total Energy (MWh)
2004	9.0	34,221
2005	9.0	31,594
2006	10.4	34,833
2007	9.6	34,413
2008	9.3	32,505
2009	9.3	32,958
2010	10.0	35,110
2011	10.2	34,230
2012	9.3	33,358
2013	8.6	32,558

SECTION 2**FUTURE ENERGY SERVICES PROJECTIONS****Load Forecast:**

Provide a load forecast summary for the next ten (10) years; **and** provide a narrative statement describing how the load forecast was developed. Discuss any expected future growth. If applicable, you may attach a load forecast study and briefly summarize the results in this section. (See 10 CFR § 905.11 (b) (5)).

Load Forecast:

Reporting Year	Peak Demand (MW)	Total Energy (MWh)
2014	8.7	32884
2015	8.8	33212
2016	8.9	33545
2017	8.9	33880
2018	9.0	34219
2019	9.1	34561
2020	9.2	34907
2021	9.3	35256
2022	9.4	35608
2023	9.5	35964

Narrative Statement:

The city of Garnett Kansas has experience relatively little growth over the past few years and the city doesn't expect there to be any significant growth in the near future. We used a flat 1% growth for the future forecast to account for any mild growth that the city might experience.

SECTION 3

EXISTING SUPPLY-SIDE RESOURCES

Existing Supply-Side Resource Summary:

Provide a general summary of your existing supply-side resources including conventional resources, renewable generation, and purchase power contracts (including Western Area Power Administration contracts). Describe the general operation of these resources and any issues, challenges, or expected changes to these resources in the next five (5) years. (See 10 CFR § 905.11 (b) (1)).

The City of Garnett is a member of the Kansas Municipal Energy Agency (KMEA), Kansas Municipal Utilities (KMU), and was one of the 5 founding members of the Energy Management Project #1 (EMP1) which consists of the 5 eastern Kansas KCPL communities. Pooling together their resources to gain maximum benefit.

Garnett's resources include

3 MW of GRDA

400 kW of Southwestern Power Administration

900 kW of WAPA.

Garnett has 7 generators totaling 11.5 MW.

Garnett's peak typically ranges around 9 MW

Existing Generation Resources:

List your current supply-side resources, including conventional resources and renewable generation. If you do not own any generating resources, insert N/A in the first row. Insert additional rows as needed.

Resource Description (Identify resources as base load, intermediate, or peaking)	Fuel Source	Rated Capacity (MW)	In-Service Date (Year)	Estimated Expiration/Retirement Date (Year)
GM	O	2.5	1978	2028
GM	O	2.5	1981	2031
Fairbanks Morse	DF	2	2000	2050
Fairbanks Morse	DF	1.2	1955	N/A
Fairbanks Morse	DF	1.2	1961	N/A
Fairbanks Morse	DF	800	1948	N/A
Fairbanks Morse	DF	400	1930	N/A

Existing Purchase Power Resources:

List your current purchase power resources. Define whether the contract provides firm service, non-firm service, all requirements or another type of service. Include Western Area Power Administration resources. If applicable, include a summary of resources that are under a net metering program. Insert additional rows as needed.

Resource Description	Fuel Source (If applicable)	Contracted Demand (MW)	Type of Service (Firm, Non-firm, Requirements, Other)	Expiration Date (Year)
GRDA	Coal	3MW	Firm	04/30/26
SPA	HYDRO	400kW	Firm	12/31/18
WAPA	HYDRO	900kW	Firm	9/30/24
Kansas City Power & Light (KCPL)	Variable	Load Following	Firm	2015
EMP1 Marketing	SPP EIS/IM market	Variable	Market purchases	

SECTION 4**EXISTING DEMAND-SIDE RESOURCES**

Demand-side programs alter a customer's use pattern and include energy conservation, energy efficiency, load control/management, education, and distribution system upgrades that result in an improved combination of energy services to the customer and the ultimate consumer.

Existing Demand-Side Resources:

List your current demand-side programs, including energy conservation, energy efficiency, load control/management, education, or maintenance plans, or system upgrades. Programs may impact the utility distribution system, municipally owned facilities, and/or end-user energy consumption. Refer to Section 9 of this form for a list of example programs. Insert additional rows as needed.

(See 10 CFR § 905.11 (b) (1)).

Program Description	Estimated Program Savings (MW and/or MWh if known) (Include annual impact and impact over the life of the program if known.)
Garnett installed an Energy Efficient Street Lighting program	60% savings on energy usage per year
Garnett has an aggressive tree trimming program	Improved Reliability
Energy Education Program – brochures and tips in utility bills.	Improved awareness

SECTION 5**FUTURE RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS
AND RESOURCE OPTIONS****Balance of Loads and Resources (Future Resource Requirements):**

Provide a narrative statement that summarizes the new resources required to provide retail consumers with adequate and reliable electric service during the 5-year resource planning period. Identify any federal or state regulations that may impact your future resource requirements. If you are not experiencing or anticipating load growth and a need for new resources, describe your current procedure to periodically evaluate the possible future need for new resources.

Garnett belongs to the Kansas Municipal Energy Association (KMEA). KMEA operates a Power Supply Committee which is constantly researching and suggesting long-term reliable power supply resources to its membership.

Garnett participates in the Power Supply Committee and will review results and suggestions of the Committee to determine future energy needs and resources to meet long term needs. To meet Garnett's needs for the next 5 years, we will continue to participate in the KMEA EMP1 as well as utilize our current resources from GRDA, WAPA, SPA and KMEA energy marketing efforts. The city does not expect to need new resources to meet the its energy needs over the next 5 years.

Identification of Resource Options

Identification and comparison of resource options is an assessment and comparison of existing and future supply-side and demand-side resources available to a customer based upon size, type, resource needs, geographic area, and competitive situation. Resource options evaluated must be identified. The options evaluated should related to the resource situation unique to each Western customer as determined by profile data such as service area, geographical characteristics, customer mix, historical loads, projected growth, existing system data, rates, financial information, and load forecast. (See 10 CFR § 905.11 (b) (1)).

Considerations that may be used to develop potential resource options include cost, market potential, consumer preferences, environmental impacts, demand or energy impacts, implementation issues, revenue impacts, and commercial availability. (See 10 CFR § 905.11 (b) (1) (iii)).

Future Supply-side Options:

List the future supply-side resource options that were considered and evaluated, including, but not limited to conventional generation, renewable generation, and power purchase contracts. Include a brief discussion on the applicability of each option for further consideration or implementation based on your system requirements and capabilities. If new resources are not required during the 5-year resource planning period, please indicate that below. Insert additional rows as needed. (See 10 CFR § 905.11 (b) (1)).

Garnett does not anticipate the need for new resources to meet their energy needs for the next 5 years. The city expects to be able to meet their energy with current resources until 2026.

Supply-Side Option	Applicability for Implementation or Further Consideration
KMEA EMP1	EMP1 allows the City to receive their own most cost effective resources first to meet their own load obligations. Then the pool will purchase the necessary energy from the market to meet the City needs. The current resources and EMP1 Pool provide the city with sufficient capacity and energy for the next 5 years and therefore there is no immediate need for additional resources.
Baseload	Working with the KMEA Municipal Power Supply Committee
Intermediate	Working with the KMEA Municipal Power Supply Committee
Peaking	Working with the KMEA Municipal Power Supply Committee

Future Demand-side Options:

List the future demand-side resource options that were considered and evaluated. Demand-side programs alter a customer's use pattern and include energy conservation, energy efficiency, load control/management, education, and distribution system upgrades that result in an improved combination of energy services to the customer and the ultimate consumer. Include a brief discussion on the applicability of each option for further consideration or implementation based on your system requirements and capabilities. Insert additional rows as needed. (See 10 CFR § 905.11 (b) (2)).

Demand-Side Option	Applicability for Implementation or Further Consideration
Garnett - KMEA EMP 1	Combined assets of the EMP 1 group
Garnett - Power Supply Committee	Looking at and considering Nuclear & Coal resources as well as Natural Gas

Resource Options Chosen:

Describe the resource options that were chosen for implementation or further consideration and clearly demonstrate that decisions were based on a reasonable analysis of the options. Resource decisions may strike a balance among applicable evaluation factors such as cost, market potential, customer preferences, environmental impacts, demand or energy impacts, implementation issues or constraints, revenue impacts, and commercial availability. (See 10 CFR § 905.11 (b) (1) (iv)).

Garnett

Garnett is participating in the KMEA Long term power supply study that will look at possible resources to supply the energy and capacity needs of the city for the foreseeable future. The next round of indication of interest is due to KMEA by July 15, 2014. KMEA will evaluate and recommend resources to fulfill the future electrical needs of the city.

The city of Garnett is sufficient on capacity and energy resources until 2026 but continues to research possible resources to lower electrical cost to its residents and commercial customers.

SECTION 6

ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS

Environmental Effects:

To the extent practical, Western customers must minimize environmental effects of new resource acquisitions and document these efforts. IRPs must include a qualitative analysis of environmental impacts in summary format. Describe the efforts taken to minimize adverse environmental effects of new resource acquisitions. Describe how your planning process accounts for environmental effects. Include a discussion of policies you conform with or adhere to, and resource decisions that have minimized or will minimize environmental impacts by you and/or your wholesale electricity supplier(s). Western customers are neither precluded from nor required to include a qualitative analysis of environmental externalities as part of the IRP process. If you choose to include a quantitative analysis, in addition to the summary below, please attach separately. (See 10 CFR § 905.11 (b) (3)).

Garnett installed a new silencer on our Number 6 engine.

Inspected Piston, Rings and Liners on all of our Engines. Rebuilt all Injectors on the Engines.

We are receiving Hydro from WAPA and GRDA for Clean Energy.

Garnett depends on GRDA and KCPL to meet all necessary environmental regulations for the resources they supply to the city.

Garnett is currently evaluating the possibility of wind energy for their resource mix through the long term power supply study performed by KMEA.

SECTION 7

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Public Participation:

Customers must provide ample opportunity for full public participation in preparing and developing an IRP. Describe the public involvement activities, including how information was gathered from the public, how public concerns were identified, how information was shared with the public, and how your organization responded to the public's comments. (See 10 CFR § 905.11 (b) (4)).

The city of Garnett made the IRP available to the public by providing copies at City Hall for Review for a 30 day period starting June 1, 2014.

The city posted a notice on the local tv crawler as well as providing notice in the local publications. Garnett did not receive any feedback or responses for this report and is submitting for final approval.

SECTION 8**ACTION PLAN &
MEASUREMENT STRATEGIES****Action Plan Summary:**

Describe the high-level goals and objectives that are expected to be met by the implementation of this resource plan within the 5-year resource planning period. Include longer term objectives and associated time period(s) if applicable. (See 10 CFR § 905.11 (b) (2)) and (See 10 CFR § 905.11 (b) (6)).

Garnett's long term goals are;

1. Maintain the lowest cost energy supply for our customers and continue being a good steward of our natural resources and the environment.
2. Provide reliable energy to their customers

This resource plan will help accomplish these goals by creating an avenue to collaborate and work together with neighboring cities to obtain competitive power supply that comes from reliable, environmentally conscious power suppliers.

KMEA and the Power Supply Committee are currently evaluating a multitude of possible Wind Resources in the state of Kansas. Garnett has indicated interest in looking at the feasibility of participating in one of these renewable resources.

Specific Actions:

List specific actions you will take to implement your plan over the 5-year planning horizon.

New Supply-Side Resource Acquisitions:

List new resource options your organization is planning to implement, investigate, or pursue in the next five years. Include conventional generation, renewable resources, net metering programs, and purchase power contracts. Include key milestones such as the issuing an RFP, executing a contract, or completing a study. (See 10 CFR § 905.11 (b) (2)).

Proposed New Resource	Begin Date	Est. New Capacity (MW)	Milestones to evaluate progress and/or accomplishments

New Demand-Side Programs & Energy Consumption Improvements:

List energy efficiency, energy conservation, and load management programs your organization is planning to implement or evaluate in the next five years. Include key milestones to evaluate the progress of each program. Insert additional rows as needed. (See 10 CFR § 905.11 (b) (2)).

Example programs could include:

- Education programs & communications
- Energy efficient lighting upgrades
- Energy audits
- Weatherization & Insulation
- Window/doors upgrades
- Boiler, furnace or air conditioning retrofits
- Programmable thermostats
- Equipment inspection programs
- Use of infrared heat detection equipment for maintenance
- Tree-trimming/brush clearing programs
- Electric motor replacements
- Upgrading distribution line/substation equipment
- Power factor improvement
- Loan arrangements for energy efficiency upgrades
- Rebate programs for energy efficient equipment
- Key account programs
- Load management programs
- Demand control equipment
- Rate designs
- Smart meters (Time-of-Use Meters)

Proposed Items	Begin Date	Est. kW capacity savings per year	Est. kWh savings per year	Milestones to evaluate progress and/or accomplishments
Power factor improvement	2010			Installed new 500 KV Cap Banks
Power factor improvement	2014			Install 900KV cap Banks To increase our Power Factor up to industry Standards
Tree Trimming				Ongoing Program
Energy Efficient Lighting				Ongoing Program

Measurement Strategies:

Describe your plan to evaluate and measure the actions and options identified in the IRP to determine if the IRP's objectives are being met. The plan must identify and include a baseline from which you will measure the IRP implementation's benefits. (See 10 CFR § 905.11 (b) (6)).

The City will use 2012 for data baseline.

Customers and Citizens can provide feedback and recommendations throughout each year.

Once a year the IRP will be reviewed and then submitted to Western Area Power.

We will track progress of programs, and review results with staff and City Commission annually.

City expects to see a savings of 15% on the facilities using the new energy efficient lightbulbs.

SECTION 9**SIGNATURES AND APPROVAL****IRP Approval:**

Indicate that all of the IRP requirements have been met by having the responsible official sign below; **and** provide documentation that the IRP has been approved by the appropriate governing body (i.e. provide a copy of the minutes that document an approval resolution). (See 10 CFR § 905.11 (b) (4)).

Joyce E. Martin

City Manager

(Name – Print or type)**(Title)**


October 31, 2014

(Signature)**(Date)****Other Information:**

(Provide/attach additional information if necessary)

IRP Posting Requirement:

10 CFR § 905.23 of the EPAMP as amended effective July 21, 2008, facilitates public review of customers' approved IRPs by requiring that a customer's IRP be posted on its publicly available Web site or on Western's Web site. Please check the method in which you will comply with this requirement within thirty (30) days of receiving notification the IRP has been approved:

<input type="checkbox"/>	Customer will post the approved IRP on its publicly available website and send the URL to Western.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Customer would like Western to post the approved IRP on Western's website.

IRP Updates:

Western's customers must submit updated IRPs every five (5) years after Western's approval of the initial IRP.

IRP Annual Progress Reports:

Western's customers must submit IRP progress reports each year within thirty (30) days of the anniversary date of the approval of the currently applicable IRP. Annual progress reports can be submitted using Western's on-line reporting tool, which can be accessed at: www.wapa.gov/es/irp

July 22, 2014
Garnett, Kansas

The Governing Body of the City of Garnett met in regular session on July 22, 2014 at 6:00 p.m. at City Hall with the following present:, Greg A. Gwin, Mayor Pro-tem, presiding; and W. Gordon Blackie, City Commissioner; Joyce E. Martin, City Manager; Kristina L. Kinney, City Clerk; and Terry J. Solander, City Attorney. Absent: Preston W. Peine, Mayor; and Asa Young, ACHS Youth in Government Representative.

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Pro-tem Gwin called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited followed by Pastor Ervin Dautherty, Trinity Lutheran Church, giving the invocation.

MINUTES APPROVED

A motion was made by Commissioner Blackie, seconded by Mayor Pro-tem Gwin to approve the minutes of July 8, 2014. On roll call, the following vote was recorded: Ayes – two; Noes – none.

COMMUNICATIONS & REPORTS

Mayor Pro-tem Gwin stated that the boat races went well and was well attended. He read a letter from Vernon Barfield, on behalf of National Boat Racing and Oklahoma Boat Racing Association, thanking several for helping make the Ethanol Hydroplane Shootout a huge success. Mayor Pro-tem thanked Susan Wettstein, Community Development Director, and Cecilia Lamb, Tourism Committee, for handing out goodie bags.

Commissioner Blackie encouraged citizens to come out for the Second Saturday events.

The General Report of City Manager Joyce Martin was received and discussed, a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereof.

City Manager Martin reported that the Corvette Club had a successful meeting in Garnett on July 14th. She thanked Bud Fraker for greeting the club members. Mr. Fraker was a member of the crew who put the numbers on the cars during the Garnett Grand Prix races. Mayor Peine was the greeter at Prairie Belle's Country Kitchen.

City Manager Martin reported that the donations for the Avenue of Flags are at almost \$5,000, so we will reach our goal of \$6,000.

City Manager Martin reported that the survey form at the recreation center contains 46 signatures of people who would like to utilize the recreation center from 9 a.m. to 11 a.m., when the center is currently closed. Some have also expressed an interest in wanting the center open until 8 p.m.

City and U.S.D. #365, but will no longer have anything for the County. City Attorney Solander questioned whether the County Commissioners were opposed to the previous program that includes less areas of the City, and would they be willing to adopt an extension of the prior plan. Mayor Pro-tem Gwin questioned whether the County can sign on any time after the resolution is approved. City Attorney Solander replied yes. He said the City of Greeley just exempted their complete town and the County Commissioners agreed to it. Mayor Pro-tem Gwin stated that we can't control other entities, but this was proposed over a year ago and he is very disappointed that the County shut this down because he believes it would have encouraged growth in our community. Commissioner Blackie stated that he agreed with Mayor Pro-tem Gwin. City Attorney Solander questioned what will happen to Greeley when their plan is set to go in effect. He said if the County will agree to an extension of the original terms at least it will restore areas currently covered for all three (3) entities. Mayor Pro-tem Gwin stated that after County Commissioner Howarter brought up concerns and we added a revision to the resolution, he thought there wouldn't be a problem for them to approve the Resolution.

City Manager Martin will contact the County to see if they would approve an extension of the current plan to at least go back to the original agreement that included a part of Garnett. A motion was made by Mayor Pro-tem Gwin, seconded by Commissioner Blackie to approve Resolution 7/22/14-1 as read. On roll call, the following vote was recorded: Ayes – two; Noes – none.

CLAIMS, BONDS & COMMERCIAL LIABILITY INSURANCE

Ordinance #3988 was read as follows: AN ORDINANCE FOR THE APPROPRIATION OF CERTAIN CLAIMS. A motion was made by Commissioner Blackie, seconded by Mayor Pro-tem Gwin to approve Ordinance #3988. On roll call, the following vote was recorded: Ayes – two; Noes – none. Ordinance #3988 paid warrants in the amount of \$313,770.33.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

At 6:53 p.m., a motion was made by Mayor Pro-tem Gwin, seconded by Commissioner Blackie to adjourn to executive session at 7:00 p.m., following a seven (7) minute break, for 15 minutes to discuss personnel with the City Manager, City Attorney, and Police Chief. On roll call, the following vote was recorded: Ayes – two; Noes – none.

At 7:15 p.m., Mayor Pro-tem Gwin called the meeting back to order and reported that no action was taken during the executive session.

There being no further business to come before the Governing Body, a motion was made by Commissioner Blackie, seconded by Mayor Pro-tem Gwin to adjourn the meeting at 7:15 p.m. On roll call, the following vote was recorded: Ayes – two; Noes – none.



Mayor

Attest:



City Clerk