

Reliable • Competitive • Trusted

January 28, 2010

ADMINISTRATION

Mr. Derrick Moe
Western Area Power Administration
P.O. Box 6457
Phoenix, AZ 85005-6457

Faxed to: (602) 605-2490

Emailed to: Post2017BCP@wapa.gov

Subject: Boulder Canyon Project – Post-2017 Application of the Energy Planning and Management Program Power Marketing Initiative [74 CFR 60256-7]

Dear Mr. Moe:

As a current Hoover Contractor and member of the Southern California Public Power Authority (SCPPA), I am writing in support of the written comments filed by SCPPA during the Ontario public comment period.

Glendale's relationship with Hoover Dam dates back to its inception in 1931, when the City entered into a 50-year contract for energy from Hoover Dam. The Hoover Power Plant Act of 1984, which currently governs the allocation of Hoover Dam power, will expire in 2017 at which time the existing participants will lose their right to power from the plant.

We have written to all our federally elected officials in full support of the legislation currently before Congress as we believe it is the best way to resolve the issues and avoid the costly and unproductive litigation and animosity that surrounded the previous renewals. Senators Feinstein and Boxer and Representative Adam Schiff have already signed on as co-sponsors of the legislation.

Glendale joins with the SCPPA Members and Hoover Contractors in formally requesting that Western Area Power Administration suspend the current administrative process at least through the end of the current session of Congress, to let the legislative process run its course, and avoid unnecessary duplication of efforts.

CITY OF GLENDALE WATER & POWER
141 North Glendale Ave., Level 4 • Glendale, CA 91206-4496
Tel: 818•548•2107 Fax: 818•552•2852




Mr. Derrick Moe
January 28, 2010
Page 2 of 2

To the extent that Western's current process continues or resumes, we strongly recommend the inclusion of following provisions from the legislation.

- We support the allocation of the full nameplate capacity of 2074 MW. The Contractors have paid for all the expenses of the project, and should receive the full output when it is available.
- We support the continuation of Schedules A, B, and C. They were the result of a negotiated settlement in the 1980's and should be upheld.
- We support a 50-year term. This matches the term of the original contracts and the LCRMSCP contracts, and postpones the need to go through this process again.
- We believe the 5% pool for new entrants is appropriate.
- We believe new entrants should be required to become a part of the LCRMSCP and pay a share of the costs.
- We believe provisions should be made to allow Contractors to deliver their power through transactions with an Independent System Operator or similar organization.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit these comments.

Respectfully,



Glenn O. Steiger
General Manager

GOS/LH:to